

Dr. Charles Dupre, Superintendent

Dr. Lynn Armstrong Elementary School

3440 Independence Blvd., Missouri City, TX 77459

Telephone: 281-634-9410 Fax: 281-327-9409

Millie Alvarez, Principal

Yady Blessinger, Assistant Principal

March 6, 2017

Dear Parent:

Fort Bend ISD and Dr. Lynn Armstrong Elementary is sharing this information about the district and your child's campus with you as part of its obligations under the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (NCLB).

Federal Report Cards for the state, the district, and each of the district's campuses are now available on the district's website at this link: www.fortbendisd.com or are also available on the Texas Education Agency's website at:

http://tea.texas.gov/About TEA/Laws and Rules/NCLB and ESEA/NCLB-ESEA Resources/Federal Report Card/.

Information on these report cards includes:

Part I: Percent Tested and Student Achievement by Proficiency Level – Provides the State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results and participation for each subject area and grade level tested.

Participation reports also include reports of the participation of Children with Individualized Education Plans (IEPs) by assessment type.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) -Provides the AMO outcomes and data table of STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the accountability subset. This section also includes participation rates on STAAR for reading/English and mathematics, use of alternative assessments, plus four-year and five-year graduation rates.

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools – Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading and mathematics and graduation rates. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets.

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data – Provides information on teacher quality in three parts.

Part A – Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held – Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary teachers in the Texas.





Dr. Charles Dupre, Superintendent

Dr. Lynn Armstrong Elementary School

3440 Independence Blvd., Missouri City, TX 77459

Telephone: 281-634-9410 Fax: 281-327-9409

Millie Alvarez, Principal

Yady Blessinger, Assistant Principal

Part B and C – Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/Low Poverty Summary Reports – Percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools.

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE) – Provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in Texas during the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation.

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results – Provides most recent NAEP results for Texas showing reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

If you have difficulty accessing the information from the website, hard copies of the reports are available at the district or campus office. If you have questions about the information, please contact me at 281-634-9410.

Sincerely,

Millie Alvarez - Principal



Dr. Charles Dupre, Superintendent

Dr. Lynn Armstrong Elementary School

3440 Independence Blvd., Missouri City, TX 77459





Millie Alvarez, Principal

Yady Blessinger, Assistant Principal

Marzo 6, 2017

Estimado padre de familia:

El Distrito Escolar de Fort Bend y la escuela primaria Dr. Lynn Armstrong está compartiendo con usted la información sobre el distrito y la escuela de su hijo como parte de las obligaciones que se requieren bajo la ley federal del Que Ningún Niño Sé Que Atrás del 2001 (NCLB, por sus siglas en inglés).

Las Tarjetas de Reporte de NCLB para el estado entero el distrito escolar y cada una de las escuelas del distrito están ahora disponibles en la página de internet en este enlace: www.fortbendisd.com y también están disponibles en la página de internet de la Agencia de Educación de Texas: http://tea.texas.gov/About TEA/Laws and Rules/NCLB and ESEA/NCLB-ESEA Resources/Federal Report Card/.

La información de las tarjetas de reporte incluye lo siguiente:

Parte I: Rendimiento de los Estudiantes por Nivel de Competencia - Proporciona los resultados de rendimiento del programa de evaluación académica (STAAR, por sus siglas en inglés) para cada materia y grado evaluado.

Parte II: Los Objetivos Académicos Anuales Mensurables del estado – Proporciona los resultados de rendimiento de las pruebas STAAR en cada materia. También incluye el índice de cuatro y cinco años de las tasas de graduación y las tasas de participación en las pruebas STAAR en lectura/inglés y matemáticas.

Parte III: Escuelas con Enfoque o Escuelas con Prioridad - las escuelas con prioridad son las que se encuentran dentro del 5% más bajo el Título I en el rendimiento en lectura y matemáticas y las tasas de graduación. Las escuelas con enfoque constituyen el 10 % del Título I que aún no son identificadas como escuelas con prioridad, que tienen la mayor diferencia entre el desempeño de grupo de estudiantes y garantizar los objetivos.

Parte IV: Calidad de Datos de Maestros – proporciona información sobre la calidad de los maestros en tres partes.

Parte A – porcentaje de maestros con el más alto nivel de título obtenido - cualificaciones profesionales de todos los maestros en las escuelas públicas de primaria y secundaria en Texas.

Parte B y C – maestros con credenciales provisionales o de emergencia, maestros altamente calificados (highly qualified), informes resumidos sobre la pobreza/con bajos índices de pobreza - porcentaje de todos los maestros en las escuelas públicas de primaria y secundaria trabajando con credenciales provisionales o de emergencia, el porcentaje de los salones de clases en el estado sin maestros que no



Dr. Charles Dupre, Superintendent

Dr. Lynn Armstrong Elementary School

3440 Independence Blvd., Missouri City, TX 77459

Telephone: 281-634-9410 Fax: 281-327-9409

Millie Alvarez, Principal

Yady Blessinger, Assistant Principal

están altamente calificados separados por niveles altos de pobreza en comparación con escuelas de bajos ingresos.

Parte V: Graduados Matriculados en una Institución de Educación Post-Secundaria en Texas (Universidad) — proporciona el porcentaje de estudiantes que se matricularon y comenzaron en una institución de educación post-secundaria en el año escolar después de que se graduaron de la secundaria.

Parte VI: Resultados Estatales de La Evaluación Nacional del Progreso Educativo (NAEP, por sus siglas en inglés) – proporciona los resultados de NAEP más recientes en Texas del rendimiento en matemáticas y lectura y la tasa de participación, separados por grupos de alumnos.

Si usted tiene dificultad obteniendo esta información del sitio de internet, copias impresas de los informes están disponibles en la oficina central del distrito o a través de la escuela en la oficina del principal. Si usted tiene preguntas acerca de la información, por favor comuníquese conmigo.

Atentamente,

Millie Alvarez - Directora

Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: DR LYNN ARMSTRONG EL Campus ID: 079907144 District Name: FORT BEND ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

											Two						
					African			America	n	Pacific	or More	Special	Econ				
		State	District	Campus		Hispanie								ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
STAAR Percent At	or Abo	ve Lev	el II Sa	tisfactory	Standard	1 (2016)	or Phas	e-in 1 Le	vel II (20	015)							
Grade 3																	
Reading	2016	72%	79%	50%	52%	48%	*	-	*	-	_	*	45%	49%	62%	37%	-
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2015		81%	70%	56%	71%	*	*	*	-	-	*	72%	81%	88%	58%	-
14 . U V	0040	7.404	2201	4=0/	500/	4004	*						440/	.40/	4000	400/	
Mathematics	2016 2015		80% 81%	45% 83%	52% 78%	42% 82%		*	*	-	-	*	41% 83%	41% 90%	49% 91%	42% 77%	-
	2013	7 4 70	0170	03 /0	1070	0270				•			00 /0	30 /0	3170	1170	
Grade 4																	
Reading	2016		81%	60%	59%	57%	*	*	*	-	*	*	61%	60%	86%	45%	-
	2015	/1%	79%	58%	54%	59%	*	-	•	-	-	•	56%	59%	62%	54%	-
Mathematics	2016	72%	79%	75%	77%	72%	*	*	*	-	*	*	75%	81%	83%	70%	
***************************************	2015		80%	68%	58%	72%	*	-	*	-	-	*	68%	80%	70%	67%	-
															mmo/		
Writing	2016		72%	49%	59%	42%	*	*	*	-	*	*	51% 44%	42% 35%	75% 56%	35% 31%	-
	2015	0/%	76%	44%	54%	38%		-		•	-		4470	3570	30%	3170	-
Grade 5																	
Reading	2016		86%	69%	74%	65%	*	-	*	-	-	63%	70%	63%	75%	64%	-
	2015	83%	88%	74%	71%	75%	*	*	*	-	*	*	71%	71%	84%	65%	-
Mathematics	2016	85%	89%	81%	78%	81%	*	_	*	_		63%	81%	86%	80%	82%	_
Iviali icitialica	2015		82%	65%	52%	66%	*	*	100%	-	*	*	63%	63%	68%	62%	_
Science	2016		77%	65%	67%	61%	*	-	*	-	-	63%	62%	66%	60%	69%	-
	2015	69%	73%	61%	48%	66%	•	*	*	-	•	•	61%	63%	59%	63%	-
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2016	74%	81%	61%	64%	57%	80%	78%	90%	-	*	34%	60%	59%	70%	54%	-
	2015	73%	81%	66%	59%	66%	56%	100%	94%	-	*	29%	66%	69%	72%	60%	-
Deading	2040	720/	700/	E00/	600/	EC0/	*	*	000/		*	2006	690/	E60/	73%	48%	_
Reading	2016 2015		79% 81%	59% 67%	62% 60%	56% 68%	*	100%	88% 92%	-	*	30% 28%	58% 67%	56% 72%	77%	59%	-
	2010	7 7 70	0770	01 70	0070	0070		10070	0270			2070	0, 70	1270	,,,,	0070	
Mathematics	2016		82%	66%	68%	63%	83%	*	88%	-	*	35%		66%	68%	64%	-
	2015	73%	81%	72%	64%	73%	*	100%	100%	-	*	33%	72%	78%	76%	69%	-
Writing	2016	68%	74%	49%	59%	42%	*		*	_	*	*	51%	42%	75%	35%	_
vviidig	2015		77%	44%	54%	38%	*	_	*	-	_	*		35%	56%	31%	-
Science	2016		84%	65%	67%	61%	*	-	*	-	- *	63%		66%	60%	69%	-
	2015	75%	81%	61%	48%	66%	•	•	•	-	^	•	61%	63%	59%	63%	-
STAAR Percent at F	inal Le	vel II o	or Abov	е													
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2016	42%	53%	20%	21%	16%	53%	56%	71%	-	*	10%		17%	26%	16%	-
	2015	38%	51%	26%	19%	26%	56%	45%	47%	-	*	13%	26%	27%	32%	20%	-
Dooding	2016	420/	53%	23%	27%	18%	*	*	63%		*	13%	21%	16%	31%	17%	_
Reading	2015		52%	29%	22%	29%	*	40%	42%	_	*	11%		31%	38%	21%	-
	2010	1070	02.70	/,5					724.74			, .			,.		
Mathematics	2016		53%	19%	17%	16%	50%	*	88%	-	*	9%		19%	24%	16%	-
	2015	36%	50%	31%	21%	31%	*	60%	67%	-	*	17%	33%	32%	37%	26%	-
Writing	2016	39%	48%	18%	18%	15%	*	*		-	*	*	19%	17%	28%	12%	-
**imig	2015		44%	10%	8%	10%	*	-	*	-	-	*	10%	2%	14%	6%	-
Science	2016		55%	16%	19%	11%	*	*	*	-	*	13%		11%	18%	16%	-
	2015	40%	50%	15%	14%	13%	*	*	*	-	•	•	14%	18%	20%	10%	-

STAAR Percent at Leve	State I III Adva	District (Campus	Africa America		ınic Whit	Ame e Ind		Asian I	Pacific slander	Two or More Races	Specia Ed			Female	Male M	igrant
All Grades All Subjects 20°	16 17%	26%	7%	E0/	60/	2001	4.4	٥,	000/			-04					
,	15 14%	26% 24%	9%	5% 7%	6% 8%				38% 22%	-	*	3% 8%	6% 9%	6% 8%	8% 10%	6% 7%	-
•	16 16% 15 15%	25% 24%	7% 12%	6% 10%	6% 11%		40		38% 25%	-	*	0% 11%	6% 12%	5% 13%	9% 16%	6% 9%	
	6 17% 5 14%	29% 26%	9% 10%	8% 10%	7% 8%		09		38% 33%	-	*	9% 6%	8% 10%	9% 8%	10% 11%	8% 10%	-
Writing 201 201	6 14% 5 8%	21% 15%	4% 0%	0% 0%	3% 0%		*		*	-	* -	*	4% 0%	4% 0%	8% 0%	2% 0%	-
	6 15% 5 14%	24% 22%	2% 2%	0% 0%	2% 3%		- *		*	-	*	0% *	0% 2%	3% 2%	0% 2%	4% 2%	-
STAAR Participation (Al	l Grades	:)															
All Tests	201 201		99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%		94% 100%	100% 100%			* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%		100% 100%	- -
Reading	201 201		100% 99%	99% 100%	100% 100%		83% 100%	* 100%	1009		* 100%	100% 100%	99% 100%	100% 99%	100% 99%	99% 100%	-
Mathematics	201 201		100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%		100% 100%	* 100%	1009 1009		* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%		100% 100%	-
Writing	2019 2019		100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100% 1	* 00%	* -	1009	- % -	*	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%		100% 100%	-
Science	2016 2018		99% 99%	100% 100%		100% 100% 1	* 100%	- 100%	* 1009	- 6 -	- 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-
STAAR Participation Res	sults by	Assessm	ent Typ	e for Sti	udents (Served In	spec	ial Edı	ucatio	n Settin	gs (All	Grades	·)				
Reading Tests % of Participants % STAAR/EOC With N		016 98	% 98%	% 100°	% 100 [.]	% 100%	6 *	-		-	- 10	00% 16	00% 1	00%	100%	100%	-
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With		016 13	% 17%	% 9%	0%	15%	*	-	-	-	- 9	1% 1	0%	17%	0%	11%	-
Accommodations % STAAR Alternate2		016 73°					*	-	-	-	_			67%	80%	83%	-
% of Non-Participants	_	016 29					*	-	-	-				17% 0%	20% 0%	6% 0%	-
Mathematics Tests % of Participants % STAAR/EOC With N		016 999	% 99%	6 1009	% 100°	% 100%	. *	-	-	-	- 10	0% 10	00% 1	00%	100%	100%	-
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With		016 129	% 15%	6 13%	6 0%	23%	*	-	-	-	- 13	3% 1	4% 3	33%	0%	17%	-
Accommodations % STAAR Alternate2		016 759 016 129					*	-	-	-				50% 17%	80% 20%	78%	-
% of Non-Participants		016 1%					*	-	-	-				0%	20% 0%	6% 0%	-

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

	į Pe	rcent of
	Two or ELL E	Ilaible
	1 All Africania a r	easures
	Students American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Disady Ed Monitored) + Met Eligible	Met
ĺ	Performance Status - State	HOL

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

^{&#}x27;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

								Two or			ELL				Percent
	All	African			American		Pacific	More		Special	Current &	E11	Total	Total	Eligible
		American	Hispanic	White			Islander		Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	ELL		Eligible	Measure Met
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%		nict	Lingible	mer
Reading	N	Υ	N				,-	4474	N	0070	Y		2	5	40
Mathematics	Υ	Υ	Υ						Ÿ		Ý		5	5	100
Writing	N		N						N		N		ō	4	0
Science	Υ	Υ	Υ						Ÿ		Ÿ		5	5	100
Social Studies									·		•		ő	ő	100
Total													12	19	63
erformance Status -	Federal														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N	N	N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N		N	n/a			
Mathematics	N	N	N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N		Ñ	n/a			
articipation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ	Υ	Υ						Υ			Y	5	5	100
Mathematics	Υ	Υ	Υ						Υ			Ý	5	5	100
Total													10	10	100
ederal Graduation St	tatus (Targe	t: See Reas	on Codes)												
Graduation Target			•										0	0	
let													·	Ū	
Reason Code ***															
l Total													0	0	
istrict: Met Federal L	imits on Alt	ernative As	sessments	i											
Reading															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number	n/a														
roficient															
Total Federal	n/a														
ap Limit															
Vathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number	n/a														
roficient	,														
Total Federal	n/a														
ap Limit															
otal															
verali Total													22	29	76

1								Two or			ELL,	
	All	African		140.11	American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
Performance Rates	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	164	45	105	*	*	→		*		_		
Standard	104	40	105	-	-	7	-	•	141	7	95	n/a
Total Tests	276	73	187	*	*	7		*	0.45			
% at Level II Satisfactory	59%	62%	56%	*	*	7	-	*	240	21	153	138
Standard	3970	0270	26%	-	·	100%	-	*	59%	33%	62%	п/а
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	182	49	118	5	*	7		*	460	_		
Standard	102	43	110	5		7	-	^	156	8	106	n/a
Total Tests	278	73	188	6	*	7		*	0.10			
% at Level II Satisfactory	65%	67%	63%	83%	*	100%	-	*	242	21	153	138
Standard	0070	07 70	0376	0370		100%	-		64%	38%	69%	n/a
Writing												İ
# at Level II Satisfactory	47	11	29	*	*	*		*	45	*	0.4	
Standard	7.		23				-		45	^	31	n/a
Total Tests	95	20	66	*	*	*		*	00			40
% at Level II Satisfactory	49%	55%	44%	*	*	*	-	*	89	*	58	48
Standard	4070	5576	4470				•	-	51%	-	53%	n/a
Science												ŀ
# at Level II Satisfactory	52	17	31	*	_	*		•	40	5	25	
Standard			٥,		=		-	•	40	5	25	n/a
Total Tests	80	25	51	*	_	*			64	8	37	
% at Level II Satisfactory	65%	68%	61%	*	_	*	-	-	63%	_		33
Standard	0070	0070	0170		-		-	-	63%	63%	68%	n/a
Social Studies												l
# at Level II Satisfactory	_	_	_	_	_	_	_					-1-
Standard						-	-	-	-	*	-	n/a
Total Tests	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_			1
•							-	-	-	-	-	-

Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All	African			American		Donisio	Two or	F	8	ELL	
		American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	More Races	Econ Disady	Special Ed	(Current & Monitored)	ELL (Curren
% at Level II Satisfactory	-		-		-	-	-	-	-		-	n/a
Standard												100
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessm	ents											
Number Participating	297	78	201	5	*	9	-	*	258	23	n/a	152
Total Students	299	78	202	6	*	9	-	*	260	23	n/a	152
Participation Rate	99%	100%	100%	83%	*	100%		*	99%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asse	ssments								,-	12070	,,,,	10070
Number Participating	298	78	202	6	*	8	-	*	259	23	n/a	151
Total Students	298	78	202	6	*	8	_	*	259	23	n/a	151
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	-	*	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Indicates results are mas	ekad dua ta	onall mumb		1 - 1 - 1 -		***						

								Two or				
	Ali Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	More Races	Econ Disady	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Curren
Federal Graduation Rates												(+411011
4-year Longitudinal Cohort G	iraduation Ra	ite (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	15								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	_	-	_	n/a
Total in Class	-	•	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_		_	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort G	raduation Ra	te (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14								117 64
Number Graduated	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_		_	_	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	_		_		_	_	_	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation I	Rate (Gr 9-12): Class of 20	014									100
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	_		_	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	~	-	-	_		-	-	· <u>-</u>	_	
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
District: Met Federal Limits o	n Alternative	Assessment	ts									
Reading												
Number Proficient	n/a											
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a											
Mathematics												
Number Proficient	n/a											
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a											
	-tt											
Indicates results are ma:	skea due to:	small numb	ers to prote	ct studer	it confidentia	lity.						
when only one radialies	inic group is	masked, the	en the seco	nd small	est racial/eth	nic grou	p is masked	l (regardle	ess of size).		
Indicates there are no st	udents in the	group.										

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports.Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year.ldentifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District Percent	State Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.5%	1.0%
Bachelors	33,3	73.5%	71.7%	74.7%
Masters	12.0	26.5%	26.7%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	1.1%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General Education	Special Education	Tota
Total Number of Teachers		36	2	38
Total Number of Classes		36	2	38
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	35	2	37
	Percent	97.22%	100.00%	97.37%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	1	0	1
	Percent	2.78%	0.00%	2,63%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of Tea	chers
	Elem (PK-6)	secondary (7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	Ö	Ó
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	Ō
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0
Temporary	0	

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Numbe	r of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	1	G
Not Highly Qualified	0	a
<u> </u>		

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall

or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

Grade	Subject	Student Group	% Below Basic	% At or Above Basic	% At or Above Proficient	% At or Above Advanced
Građe 4	Reading	Overail	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Frade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities	72
		Limited English Proficient	92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	80
		Limited English Proficient	95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	90